UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,870	06/21/2000	Charles S. Farlow	100.015US01 7541	
34206 FOGG & POW	7590 05/18/200°	EXAMINER		
10 SOUTH FIF			ODOM, CURTIS B	
	SUITE 1000 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PAPER NUMBER
Will Will it Obl	10, 1111 00 102		2611	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/598,870	FARLOW, CHARLES S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Curtis B. Odom	2611				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2007</u> .						
, -	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6,19,20,28-32,35-41 and 43-59 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,20 and 52-56</u> is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,28-32,35-41, 43-51</u> is/are rejected.					
,	7) Claim(s) 57-59 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/2007 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. Applicant states there is no motivation to combine Ueda (U. S. Patent No. 5, 787, 118) and Coonce et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4, 064, 370) because there is no need or desire for the device in Ueda to process each data path in sequence, or to maintain synchronization between data paths. However, Ueda discloses storing an output or an equalizer in a memory (see Fig. 1, blocks 43 and 44) for an amount of time before the outputs are provided to a selector. It is the understanding of the Examiner that the data paths in Ueda should be processed in sequence so that the equalizer output corresponding to the output of the comparator is ready to be supplied to the switch (column 21, lines 7-33) from the memory in sequence. There is also a need for synchronization since Ueda discloses receiving signals from time-variant channels (see column 8, lines 44-67). Ueda simply does not disclose this amount of time in the memory is a time slot of a communication channel. Coonce et al. discloses a plurality of buffer (memory) circuits (Fig. 1, block 205, column 3, line 62-column 4, line 24) which store intermediate signals (column 6, lines 45-54 and column 9, lines 15-19) for the duration of a time slot (976 nanoseconds). Coonce et al. discloses that synchronism throughout the device can be controlled by controlling the timing of the buffer memories using a time slot counter (column 8, lines 8-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the memory of Ueda with the memory of Coonce et al. in order to process each data path

Art Unit: 2611

in sequence (Coonce et al., column 4, lines 62-64) and maintain synchronization between the data paths (column 8, lines 8-22). Thus, it is the understanding of the examiner that there is motivation to combine these references.

Regarding claims 45-48, the arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 28-32, 35-39, 43, 44, and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (previously cited in Office Action 5/12/2005) in view of Coonce et al. (previously cited in Office Action 1/29/2005).

Regarding claim 1, Ueda discloses an equalization circuit (Fig. 1), comprising:
an input (Fig. 1, block 40) adapted to receive signals from a communications channel;
a plurality of equalizer circuits (Fig. 1, blocks 41 and 42) coupled to the input and
operable to generate a plurality of intermediate signals;

a selector circuit (Fig. 1, block 48) responsive to the equalizer circuits that selects one of the intermediate signals; and

Art Unit: 2611

an output (Fig. 1, output of block 48) coupled to the selector circuit that receives the selected intermediate signal.

Ueda does not disclose a plurality of buffer circuits, each buffer circuit coupled between one of the plurality of equalizer circuits and the selector circuit to buffer the intermediate signals for approximately the duration of a time slot of the communication channel.

Coonce et al. discloses a plurality of buffer circuits (Fig. 1, block 205, column 3, line 62-column 4, line 24) which buffer intermediate signals (column 6, lines 45-54 and column 9, lines 15-19) for the duration of a time slot (976 nanoseconds). Coonce et al. discloses that synchronism throughout the device can be controlled by controlling the timing of the buffer memories using a time slot counter (column 8, lines 8-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the equalizer of Ueda with the teachings of Coonce et al. in order to process each data path in sequence (Coonce et al., column 4, lines 62-64) and maintain synchronization between the data paths (column 8, lines 8-22).

Regarding claim 2, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Ueda et al. discloses the equalizer circuits comprise adaptive equalizers (column 19, line 65- column 20, line 10).

Regarding claim 3, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Ueda et al. discloses the adaptive equalizers comprise a linear adaptive equalizer an non-linear decision feedback equalizers (column 19, line 65-column 20, line 11).

Regarding claim 4, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Ueda discloses each of the adaptive equalizers comprises a transversal structure (column 9, lines 9-15).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 5, which inherits the limitations of claim 2, Ueda discloses each of the adaptive equalizers uses a least mean square error algorithm (column 25, lines 41-45).

Regarding claim 6, which inherits the limitations of claim 1, Ueda discloses the equalizer circuits provides a signals that reflects the relative quality (error values) of the intermediate signals from a plurality of equalizer circuits to the selector circuit to select the intermediate signal (column 20, line 61-column 21, line 17).

Regarding claims 28, Ueda and Coonce et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 28 (see rejection of claim 1) including an antenna for receiving a signal over a communication channel (see Ueda, Fig. 11, element 101).

Regarding claim 29, Ueda further discloses an antenna for receiving a signal over a wireless communication channel (Fig. 11, element 101).

Regarding claim 30, Uedu and Coonce et al. do not disclose receiving the signal over a communication channel of a hybrid fiber coax network. However, Ueda does disclose performing equalization of any time-varying channel (column 8, lines 13-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that Ueda and Coonce et al.could have applied equalization to a time-varying communication channel of a hybrid fiber coax network.

Regarding claim 31, Ueda further discloses equalizing the signal in a bank of equalizers (Fig. 1, blocks 41 and 42).

Regarding claim 32, Ueda further discloses loading selected tap coefficients for a plurality of equalizers during a training mode prior to receiving a signal over the communication channel (Ueda, column 3, line 5-column 4, line 22).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 35, Ueda further discloses generating a quality measure of the output of the equalizers (column 20, lines 61-67).

Regarding claim 36, Ueda further discloses the quality measure is a mean (integrated) square error (column 20, lines 61-67).

Regarding claim 37, Ueda discloses a method for equalizing a signal from a time division multiple access communication channel, the method comprising:

receiving (Fig 11, block 101) a signal over the communication channel using an antennal;

equalizing (Fig. 1, blocks 41 and 42) the signal in parallel in a bank of adaptive equalizers with parallel outputs (column 19, line 65-column 20, line 10);

further (Fig. 1, blocks 43 and 44) processing the parallel outputs of the bank of adaptive equalizers using a memory;

generating (column 20, lines 61-67) a quality measure (integrated square error) of the output of each of the bank of adaptive equalizers; and

selecting (column 21, lines 7-17) an output of one of the equalizers based on the quality measure using a comparator and a selector switch.

Ueda does not buffering the outputs of the equalizers for approximately the duration of a time slot of the communication channel.

Coonce et al. discloses a plurality of buffer circuits (Fig. 1, block 205, column 3, line 62-column 4, line 24) which buffer intermediate signals (column 6, lines 45-54 and column 9, lines 15-19) for the duration of a time slot (976 nanoseconds). Coonce et al. discloses that synchronism throughout the device can be controlled by controlling the timing of the buffer

Art Unit: 2611

memories using a time slot counter (column 8, lines 8-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the equalizer of Ueda with the teachings of Coonce et al. in order to process each data path in sequence (Coonce et al., column 4, lines 62-64) and maintain synchronization between the data paths (column 8, lines 8-22).

Regarding claim 38, the claim includes limitations similar to the above rejection of claim 29, which is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 39, claim includes limitations similar to the above rejection of claim 30, which is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 43, claim includes limitations similar to the above rejection of claim 1, which is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 44, claim includes limitations similar to the above rejection of claim 2, which is applicable hereto.

Regarding claim 49, Ueda discloses a telecommunications systems, comprising:

at least one transmission system (Ueda, column 1, lines 10-16) representing a base station which can provide connection to a core network including a circuit that receives signals (Ueda, Fig. 1 and Fig. 11) from the core network and provides the signal to a plurality of mobile communication users (Ueda, column 1, lines 10-16) over at least one communication channel, wherein the transmission system includes an equalization circuit (Fig. 1 and Fig. 11), comprising:

a plurality of equalizer circuits (Fig. 1, blocks 41 and 42) coupled to the input and operable to generate a plurality of intermediate signals;

Art Unit: 2611

a selector circuit (Fig. 1, block 48) responsive to the equalizer circuits that selects one of the intermediate signals; and

an output (Fig. 1, output of block 48) coupled to the selector circuit that receives the selected intermediate signal.

Ueda does not disclose a plurality of buffer circuits, each buffer circuit coupled between one of the plurality of equalizer circuits and the selector circuit to buffer the intermediate signals for approximately the duration of a time slot of the communication channel which would allow the transmission system to receive time division multiple access signals.

Coonce et al. discloses a plurality of buffer circuits (Fig. 1, block 205, column 3, line 62-column 4, line 24) which buffer intermediate signals (column 6, lines 45-54 and column 9, lines 15-19) for the duration of a time slot (976 nanoseconds). Coonce et al. discloses that synchronism throughout the device can be controlled by controlling the timing of the buffer memories using a time slot counter (column 8, lines 8-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the equalizer of Ueda with the teachings of Coonce et al. in order to process each data path in sequence (Coonce et al., column 4, lines 62-64) and maintain synchronization between the data paths (column 8, lines 8-22). The addition of the buffers would allow the transmission system of Ueda to receive time division multiple access signals.

Regarding claim 50, Ueda discloses the transmission system comprises a wireless transmission system (Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 51, Uedu and Coonce et al. do not disclose the transmission system comprises a head end of a hybrid fiber coax network. However, Ueda does disclose performing

Art Unit: 2611

equalization of any time-varying channel (column 8, lines 13-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that Ueda and Coonce et al.could have applied equalization in the transmission system to a time-varying communication channel of a hybrid fiber coax network. Thus, the transmission system could have been a headend of a hybrid fiber coax network.

4. Claims 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (previously cited in Office Action 5/12/2005) in view of Zak et al. (previously cited in Office Action 5/31/2006).

Regarding claim 45, Ueda discloses a method for equalizing a signal from a time division multiple access communication channel, the method comprising:

loading tap coefficients during a training period (column 3, line 5-column 4, line 22) for a burst transmission (see column 20, lines 3-5) into a plurality of parallel equalizers (Fig. 1, blocks 41 and 42);

receiving (see column 20, lines 3-5) a signal from the communication channel;

equalizing (see column 20, lines 35-60) the signal in the plurality of equalizers to produce a plurality of equalized signals;

selecting one of equalized signals based on the equalizing (column 21, lines 7-17).

Ueda does not disclose further processing the equalized signals with at least one plurality of parallel decoder circuits.

However, Zak et al. discloses selecting the output of two data paths (Fig. 1), one of which includes an equalizer (Fig. 1, block 26) based on the outputs of two decoders which include forward error correction (column 4, lines 28-41) and detecting error of frame (packets) using

Art Unit: 2611

CRCs (column 4, lines 42-53) on the output of the equalizer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processing of Ueda and with forward error correction (FEC) and CRCs as taught by Zak et al. since Zak et al. states FEC and CRCs can provide error detection and corrections on the received data (column 4, lines 41-53 and column 5, lines 2-11).

Regarding claim 46, Ueda et al. discloses the equalizer circuits comprise adaptive equalizers (column 19, line 65- column 20, line 10).

Regarding claims 47 and 48, Zak et al. further discloses the further processing comprises forward error correction (column 4, lines 28-41) and detecting error of the frames (at packet level) using CRCs (column 4, lines 42-53) on the output of the equalizer. It would have been obvious to include this feature since Zak et al. states FEC and CRCs can provide error detection and corrections on the received data (column 4, lines 41-53 and column 5, lines 2-11).

5. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (previously cited in Office Action 5/12/2005) in view of Coonce et al. (previously cited in Office Action 1/29/2005) as applied to claim 37, in further view of Zak et al. (previously cited in Office Action 5/31/2006).

Regarding claims 40 and 41, Ueda and Coonce et al. do not disclose the further processing comprises forward error correcting or detecting errors at the packet level.

However, Zak et al. discloses selecting the output of two data paths (Fig. 1), one of which includes an equalizer (Fig. 1, block 26) based on the outputs of two decoders which include forward error correction (column 4, lines 28-41) and detecting error of frame (packets) using CRCs (column 4, lines 42-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at

Art Unit: 2611

the time the invention was made to modify the processing of Ueda and Coonce et al. with forward error correction (FEC) and CRCs as taught by Zak et al. since Zak et al. states FEC and CRCs can provide error detection and corrections on the received data (column 4, lines 41-53 and column 5, lines 2-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 19, 20, and 52-56 are allowable over prior art references
- 7. Claims 57-59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Curtis Odom May 12, 2007